UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,733	03/23/2004	Michael W. Hawman	ЕН-10536 В	2544
52237 7590 04/30/2008 BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. (P&W) 900 CHAPEL STREET			EXAMINER	
			JARRETT, RYAN A	
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN, CT 06510-2802			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/806,733	HAWMAN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ryan A. Jarrett	2121		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02/28</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-22 and 24-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-21 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 22 and 24-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/13/08,03/13/08.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate		

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 17-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 06/05/06.

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements filed 03/13/08 fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(c) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). They have been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The information disclosure statements filed 03/13/08 fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(c) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). They have been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of former claims 23-26 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to DeBrouse, and in view of the newly discovered case law In re Ngai and In re Gullack. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow and case law follow.

# Claim Objections

Claims 24-27 are objected to because they depend from a cancelled claim. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 22 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the gas turbine engine part of Marshall et al. US 6,728,610 (e.g., col. 1 lines 9-11), or under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the gas turbine engine part of Martin US 4,280,185 (e.g., Fig. 1 #18), since:

Where the only difference between a prior art product and a claimed product is printed matter that is not functionally related to the product, the content of the printed matter will not distinguish the claimed product from the prior art. In re Ngai, \*\*>367 F.3d 1336, 1339, 70 USPQ2d 1862, 1864 (Fed. Cir. 2004)< (Claim at issue was a kit requiring instructions and a buffer agent. The Federal Circuit held that the claim was anticipated by a prior art reference that taught a kit that included instructions and a buffer agent, even though the content of the

Art Unit: 2121

instructions differed.). See also In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385-86, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983)("Where the printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate, the printed matter will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability .... [T]he critical question is whether there exists any new and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter and the substrate.").

Art Unit: 2121

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Even if the printed matter on Applicant's claimed tag were to receive patentable weight, the claims are still considered obvious as detailed below.

Claims 22 and 24-27 are additionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall et al. US 6,728,610 in view of DeBrouse US 5,920,053.

Marshall et al. discloses:

### 22. A gas turbine engine part (e.g., col. 1 lines 9-11), comprising:

a paper tag comprising at least one part identifier information affixed to a gas turbine engine part having undergone a maintenance operation, wherein said tag corresponds to an electronic record and is a substitute for a plurality of paperwork pertaining to said maintenance operation (e.g., col. 1 lines 60-65: "In one embodiment, the 'as flying' configuration can be determined, at least in part, by querying bar codes associated with one or more engine components. Alternatively, or in combination with the use of such bar codes, the 'as flying' configuration can be determined by querying microchips associated with one or more engine components", col. 3 lines 13-29: "Alternatively, or in combination, programmable devices capable of storing, receiving, and/or sending data can be associated with one or more engine components. In one embodiment, microchips can be associated with the

Application/Control Number: 10/806,733

Art Unit: 2121

components, and can be queried by the maintenance system. For example, microchips known as 'smart tags' can be attached to or otherwise associated with the components."),

Page 6

wherein said at least one part identifier information comprises a part information section (e.g., col. 2 lines 1-3: "a processor which is coupled, such as electronically, to an aircraft engine and programmed with a unique identifier corresponding to the aircraft engine"), a customer information section, a status section (e.g., col. 1 lines 60-65: "as flying configuration", col. 3 lines 13-29: "repair status"), a bar code section (e.g., col. 1 lines 60-65: "bar codes") and a routing information section.

- 24. The gas turbine engine part of claim 22, wherein said status section comprises a disposition of said part according to said electronic record (e.g., col. 1 lines 60-65: "as flying configuration", col. 3 lines 13-29: "repair status").
- 25. The gas turbine engine part of claim 22, wherein said bar code section comprises a unique identifier of said part assigned to said electronic record (e.g., col. 1 lines 60-65, col. 3 lines 13-29, col. 4 lines 19-21).
- 26. The gas turbine engine part of claim 22, wherein said routing information section comprises a maintenance operation history of said part through a maintenance facility (e.g., col. 1 lines 30-31, col. 5 lines 5-41).
- 27. The gas turbine engine part of claim 22, wherein said maintenance operation is a maintenance, repair or overhaul of said part (e.g., col. 3 lines 13-29, The type of "operation" undergone by the claimed "gas turbine engine part" does not limit the structure of the gas turbine engine part or the tag.).

Per claim 1, Marshall et al. does not explicitly disclose that the bar code tag is a paper tag, further comprising a customer information section and a routing section (claim 1). Marshall et al. is silent as to the specifics of the bar code "tag" and how it is implemented, i.e., whether or not it is a paper tag.

Per claim 26, although Marshall et al. does disclose routing information comprising a maintenance operation history of said part through a maintenance facility (e.g., col. 1 lines 30-31, col. 5 lines 5-41), Marshall et al. does not explicitly disclose that said tag comprises said routing information.

However, it is well known in the art for bar codes to be implemented on paper tags. And it is well known in the art to use paper tags to label and track items. And it is well known in the art to include customer information and routing information on such tags.

For example, DeBrouse discloses an item comprising a paper tag comprising at least one part identifier information affixed to the item, wherein the at least one part identifier information comprises a part information section (e.g., Fig. 5 #112, col. 6 line 13: "Baggage Identification Bar Code 112"), a customer information section (e.g., Fig. 5: "AIRLINE", "PASSENGER NAME"), a status section (e.g., Fig. 5: "AIRPORT", "DATE", "# OF CHECKED BAGS", "# OF CARRY-ON BAGS"), a bar code section (e.g., Fig. 5 #112,122) and a routing section (e.g., Fig. 5: "FLIGHT# DESTINATION", col. 6 lines 17-20).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Marshall et al. with DeBrouse since all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by

Art Unit: 2121

known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

It further would have been further obvious sine the substitution of one known element (bar code tag or electronic tag) for another (paper tag) would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Art Unit: 2121

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ryan A. Jarrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-3742. The

examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-6:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ryan A. Jarrett/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2121

04/27/08